



Federal Demonstration Partnership

FDP Phase VII Strategic Initiatives – Comprehensive Program Evaluation

Robert Nobles, DrPH, MPH, CIP

Vice President for Research Administration, Emory
University

Vice Chair of the Faculty Committee



Evaluation Working Group

Karen	Bales	University of California, Davis
Stephanie	Brock	Wayne State University
Chloe	Campbell	University of Florida
Alene	Denson	University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences
Tim	Foley	Wayne State University
Sarah	Gonzalez	University of Tennessee, Knoxville
Janice	Grace	Mayo Clinic
Shawn	Hoffman	University of California, Office of the President
felicia	hou	Columbia University
Michael	Kenney	Beckman Research Institute City of Hope
Elaine	Kim	Colorado State University
Beth	Kingsley	Yale University
John	Leonard	Virginia Commonwealth University
Mark	Lynam	Tennessee Technological University
Rosemary	Madnick	University of Alaska, Fairbanks
Michele	Masucci	Temple University
Edward	McKoy	George Washington University
Kate	Mollen	University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee
Rebecca	Nickleson	University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences

Elysse	Orchard	William Marsh Rice University
Dennis	Paffrath	University of Maryland
Kathy	Pennington	Florida State University
Jeffrey	Petasis	University of Pittsburgh
Panda	Powell	North Carolina Agricultural & Technical State University
Katrina	Romagnoli	Geisinger Clinic
Joshua	Rosenbloom	Iowa State University
Timothy	Schailey	Thomas Jefferson University
Peter	Schiffer	Yale University
Kelly	Shaver	College of Charleston
Leslie	Sherwood	University of Louisville
Ron	Splittergerber	Colorado State University
Katie	Stores	Emory University
Robert	Sullivan	Princeton University
Laszlo	Szabo	Temple University
Ara	Tahmassian	Harvard University
Jennifer	Taylor	Tennessee Technological University
Cynthia	Wells	University of California, Riverside
Jane C	Yaciuk	University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center



Federal Demonstration Partnership Evaluation Framework

Type of Evaluation

- Process
- Outcome
- Impact



Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Framework for program evaluation in public health. MMWR 1999;48 (No. RR-11)



Federal Demonstration Partnership

Key Initial Questions of the Working Group

- What will be evaluated?
- What assessment information does FDP currently collect?
- What aspects of the program will be considered when judging program performance?
- What standards (i.e., type or level of performance) must be reached for the program to be considered successful?
- What evidence will be used to indicate how the program has performed?
- How will the lessons learned from the evaluation be used to improve FDP's impact?



Federal Demonstration Partnership

Initial Questions of the Evaluation:

- What are the specific program improvements that have been implemented after each faculty workload survey?
- What is the specific and critical role that FDP plays in government-wide initiatives?
- How many demonstration projects have been planned, implemented, and/or completed each year? During each phase of FDP?
- What activities or initiatives does FDP implement that targets or assists institutions serving underrepresented groups, including young investigators?

- Next Steps:

- Evaluation Working Group Finalizing Draft Plan
- Evaluation Plan Stakeholder Feedback: Oct./Nov. 2021