



FDP
Federal
Demonstration
Partnership

FDP Meeting Summary

9/22/2019 - 9/24/2019

Federal Agency Systems Panel

Point of Contact

Mark Sweet

Activities/Progress to Date

Agenda/Discussion Points

Pending Decisions

Participation

Key Risks/Issues

Meeting Summary

Volunteer Opportunities



Procurement - Implementing Uniform Guidance

Point of Contact	B.J. Pivonka
Activities/Progress to Date	The UG Procurement Working Group was established outside of typical FDP working groups to assist procurement professionals as opposed to research administrators with implementing new or complex Uniform Guidance Procurement Standards. The group which consists of state, private and public universities was formed roughly three years ago and meets monthly by teleconference to discuss multiple procurement implementation issues.
Agenda/Discussion Points	
Pending Decisions	Agreement with the phrase "expressly authorizes" with regards to Federal Awarding Agency approval of sole source(s), application of Uniform Guidance via modification to pre-Uniform Guidance awards; meeting requirements of organizational conflicts of interest, cost and price analysis generally and negotiation of profit on procurements above the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (SAT).
Participation	The presentation was well attended by a diverse group of experienced and informed research administrators, procurement officials, and federal agency representatives.
Key Risks/Issues	Meeting Federal Awarding Agency's sole source requirements and cost and price analysis generally, and profit analysis documentation under cost principles.
Meeting Summary	The Panel Members discussed all agenda points listed above and elicited feedback on what was assumed would be hot topics, primarily sole source, meeting socioeconomic contracting requirements regardless of tier, level of detail required for cost and price analysis with emphasis on profit, and individual and organizational conflicts of interest. The participants were advised to submit questions and recommendations to the Panel Members via email, and also encouraged to join the group's monthly procurement teleconferences.
Volunteer Opportunities	The topic focuses on implementing the Procurement Standards at 200.318 through 200.326 (depending on NFE status), but procurement officials, research administrators, auditors and all working in administrative functions are welcome to participate as many of the issues require a wide range of professional input. The time investment consists of monthly teleconferences, and some time devoted to research efforts depending on the complexity of the topic.



Open Government: Research Admin Data Subcommittee

Point of Contact

Richard Fenger - rfenger@uw.edu

Activities/Progress to Date

Agenda/Discussion Points

Pending Decisions

Participation

Key Risks/Issues

Meeting Summary

Introduction:

Mike Wetklow, Deputy CFO and Division Director from NSF joined us to provide an introduction.

-Letter of Credit (LoC) Workload Survey: Mike reinforced the need and excitement behind surveys like our current LoC effort and many folks will be looking forward to the results

-Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT): With MITRE and Treasury, NSF is pursuing discovery efforts in relation to GAO's DLT Spotlight. (GAO-19-704SP) NSF considering piloting a DLT system using the current ACM\$ as a benchmark.

General Update: What's on our radar

No new news regarding:

- Standardized NoA but activity seems to be happening within the government
- Standardized data elements (FIBF) but encouraging news around adoption at the agency level sounds promising
- Systems Matrix Analysis: Systems across the life-cycle and the agencies

Survey walk-through:

The main event! The OG:RAD survey leads take us through the finer points of the survey and provide a narrative for what the survey is looking to collect.

Prelim survey observations:

Stephanie Endy stepped us through preliminary findings. Based on 14 responses we are already seeing an interesting picture develop. Join us in January for the grand reveal of the results.

Q&A:

1) Why LoC? You have to start somewhere and the LoC process is common to everyone even though they may engage the process differently based on the agencies they interact with. This allows us to understand a simpler, common process with our first effort. Although this is not spectacular for the institutions themselves our federal counterparts are looking forward to any insight the survey might surface.



FDP
Federal
Demonstration
Partnership

FDP Meeting Summary

9/22/2019 - 9/24/2019

2) When does the survey close? Not sure. The Sept meeting was intended to drum up some more participation. Based on the flow we will determine when is best to wind down the submissions.

Volunteer Opportunities



Membership Committee Meeting

Point of Contact

Jeanne Hermann-Petrin

Activities/Progress to Date

- Registration desk – provide assistance to FDP staff at each meeting
- New Member Orientation – prepare and present orientation materials for new members
- Member attendance/feedback – work with FDP staff to monitor attendance and provide feedback
- Annual member survey - review, analyze and summarize for Executive Committee
- ERI activities – work with ERI to facilitate their efforts
- Phase VII Solicitation and Review – prepare solicitation documents, develop review criteria
- Election – Gather candidate statements and photos for website for voting
- Institutional mentoring – match new attendee institutions with mentors, as requested

Agenda/Discussion Points

Pending Decisions

- Membership types – white paper recommendation for future phases
- Membership participation – white paper recommendation – current phase
- Development of next Membership Survey
- Generate Phase VII solicitation documents

Participation

In attendance at this meeting:
 Akin, Lisa, Texas A&M Engineering Exp. Station
 Anderson, Susan, College of Charleston
 Arias, Lynette, University of Washington
 Brown, Glory, Florida A&M University
 Carney-Nunes, National Science Foundation
 Eads, Michael, Northern Illinois University
 Hermann, Jeanne, University of Tennessee HSC
 Kusiak, Michael, University of California
 Marvin, Vicki, Texas A&M Engineering Exp. Station
 Mercer, Jean, University of Tennessee, Knoxville
 Spragens, Melissa, University of Massachusetts, Medical School
 Sutter, Larry, Michigan Tech University
 Thatcher, Julie, Institute for Systems Biology

Key Risks/Issues

- Membership types – white paper recommendation for future phases
- Membership participation – white paper recommendation – current phase
- Development of next Membership Survey

Meeting Summary

- Minutes of the May meeting were approved
- Committee Coordinators provided their updates:
 - o Registration Coordinator - Lisa Akin – requirement for registration signatures was implemented



- o New Attendee Orientation – Larry Sutter – sent updated slides for the website to Jean Mercer
- o New Member Engagement Coordinator – Michael Kusiak –
- ? Participation Guide – working with the communications committee on document – audience will be new and current members
- ? Breakfast tables needed more advertising and logistical support. Will try again in January
- o Guidebook Coordinator – Melissa Spragens just stepped into the role. She will work with Jean Mercer to get assistance learning what functions guidebook can support
- o Website Coordinator – Jean Mercer – has completed training and will be working with the infrastructure group to update memberships website
- o Institution Activity Coordinators – Melissa Spragens and Julie Thatcher – need the committee chairs to send their membership roles/sign in sheets
- Larry Sutter updated the committee on the Strategic Planning progress and the change to Co-chairs (Administrative and Faculty) for the FDP
- Lynette Arias updated the group on the Executive Committee Infrastructure Task Force including, project management tools, system development tools, policies and procedures, committee authority and scope, and how to intimate a demonstration/project
- FDP Membership Types white paper has been presented to the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee voted to approve one member per DUNS, tiered dues structure, and dues based on research funding levels.
- Membership Committee calls are on the 1st Wednesday at 3 Eastern

Volunteer Opportunities

Registration desk volunteers needed before the first evening reception and on the opening morning of each meeting



FDP
Federal
Demonstration
Partnership

FDP Meeting Summary

9/22/2019 - 9/24/2019

GSA - Unique Entity Identifier

Point of Contact

Mark Sweet

Activities/Progress to Date

Agenda/Discussion Points

Pending Decisions

Participation

Key Risks/Issues

Meeting Summary

Volunteer Opportunities



eRA - SciENcv: NSF, NIH, and Future Plans

Point of Contact	
Activities/Progress to Date	N/A
Agenda/Discussion Points	
Pending Decisions	The proposed revisions for the NSF PAPPG, which include the requirement for biosketches coming from an approved format, have been indefinitely delayed.
Participation	Audience participation was lively, and the room was SRO. Questions were about SciENcv, ORCID, and how to effectively support faculty in getting both set up.
Key Risks/Issues	
Meeting Summary	<p>A panel of representatives from the National Science Foundation (NSF) and National Institutes of Health (NIH) discussed the status of SciENcv, particularly in light of the NSF's announcement (via the PAPPG revisions) that SciENcv would be one of the approved formats for biosketch upload during the application process. It was made clear that the approved formats will be a validation, as the xml of the PDF will indicate the source of the biosketch.</p> <p>NIH discussed the coming requirements for ORCID IDs for certain subsets of awards, effective October 1, and January 2020.</p>
Volunteer Opportunities	