A Forgotten Class of Scientists: Examining the Parental and Family Benefits Available to Research Trainees

Executive Summary by the FDP Task Force on Parental and Family Leave for Research Trainees

Our nation’s scientific progress relies upon a cadre of developing scientists and engineers at academic institutions, federal agencies, and private organizations across the country who fills critical roles in the research workforce. To further their careers, these research trainees rely on temporary placements — pre- and postdoctoral appointments — that do not consistently offer support and benefits afforded other researchers, including adequate parental and family leave. Trainees’ benefits sometimes slip through the cracks between the federal funding agency and their academic institution of residence. This fragmented system contributes to a common perception that a research career is incompatible with having a family, further eroding the critical scientific workforce, particularly women.

The Federal Demonstration Partnership (FDP) Task Force on Parental and Family Leave for Research Trainees examined the status of federal and university policies related to parental and family leave for predoctoral and postdoctoral research trainees. These findings are particularly important in light of a recently-announced National Science Foundation Career-Life Balance Initiative to provide greater work-related flexibility to women and men in research careers. The Task Force is a cooperative initiative of individuals from research universities and non-profits working collaboratively with federal agency officials to explore broadly adopted policies and procedures that promote a healthy and effective research infrastructure. To this end, this Task Force report:

1. Reviewed existing federal regulations and policies related to parental and family leave benefits;
2. Described the issues impacting parental and family leave benefits for research trainees;
3. Examined how academic institutions interpret policies with regard to predoctoral and postdoctoral research trainees and family and medical leave; and
4. Articulated a series of potential next steps, including compiling recommendations from Federal agencies, researchers, academic institutions, and policy analysts to improve the implementation of family and medical leave for research trainees.

Background
Protecting employees from various forms of discrimination is an important role of federal and state governments. The potential impact of pregnancy and parenting on career development has been a focal point of legal scholars and lawmakers since the late 1960s, resulting in a series of laws and regulations, including the Pregnancy Discrimination Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act, Title IX, and the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21. Family-friendly policies and benefits — including paid parental leave, short-term disability leave, unpaid extended family leave supported by the Family and Medical Leave Act, and paid or subsidized childcare — are becoming more commonplace across the U.S. Unfortunately for research trainees, however, receiving parental or family leave benefits is uncommon. According to researchers at the University of California at Berkeley, the paid maternity leave for academic populations at Association of American Universities member institutions, only 23 percent of postdoctoral researchers were entitled to at least six weeks of paid leave following childbirth. Only 13 percent of academic institutions offered paid leave to graduate researchers and some academic institutions had an institutional cap on the number of individuals who could receive paid leave at a given academic institution.

Straddling the Divide
Determining parental and family leave policies for any given research trainee can be a complicated dance between institutional policies and those required or allowed by funding agencies. There are three primary,
interdependent factors in determining the benefits available: (1) the source of funding (individual training grants, institutional training grants, or research grants to their mentors, which have different implications for employee status and benefits); (2) the policies and benefits structure at their academic institution, that can sometimes result in postdoctoral researchers working side by side performing the same duties but with different benefits; and (3) the particular circumstances of the research trainee, including what they need, where they are in their career, and the research they are doing. The ranges in benefits include: fixed versus accrued sick leave, leaves of absence or accommodation, withdrawal and re-entry programs, and dependent care/childcare.

Steps Forward
Several efforts are underway to improve the situation for research trainees. The National Postdoctoral Association held a summit in 2010 addressing the postdoctoral stage of women's careers, the National Science Foundation Career-Life Balance Initiative was recently launched with a ten-year plan to provide greater flexibility to men and women in research careers, and the NIH Working Group on Women in Biomedical Careers addresses issues related to the entry, retention, and sustained advancement of women in all levels of scientific careers. At academic institutions across the nation, many people are working to better the experience for research trainees, and to assist them, their supervisors, and the various departments in navigating this complicated landscape. In many cases, task forces are forming, FAQs and policy papers are being written, and outreach is underway to inform faculty and research trainees of existing policies and options. In at least one case, postdoctoral researchers are banding together to make change. At the University of California, postdoctoral researchers successfully formed a union and ratified their first contract in 2010 to address hours, benefits, and wages.

This Task Force report describes findings from FDP member institution representatives and data from prior reports to provide a consolidated summary of recommendations for the equitable treatment of postdoctoral researchers and employees with regard to parental and family leave. These recommendations include calls for (1) collaboration and partnerships; (2) further research into existing and efficacious programs and their costs; (3) policy reform, including a minimum baseline for all research trainees; (4) institutional climate change and support, including transparency, zero tolerance for discrimination, and mentoring programs; and (5) increased outreach and dissemination of clear policies at academic institutions and federal agencies.

The FDP and the Task Force on Parental and Family Leave for Research Trainees recognizes and joins the efforts made across the nation to support change to the existing systems and policies for research trainees in general, and with respect to family and parental leave policies.

The full copy of the report is available at: [www.thefdp.org].
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A Forgotten Class of Scientists\textsuperscript{1}: Examining the Parental and Family Benefits Available to Research Trainees

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Our nation’s scientific progress relies upon a cadre of developing scientists and engineers at academic institutions, federal agencies, and private organizations across the country who fill critical roles in the research workforce. To further their careers, these research trainees rely on temporary placements — pre- and postdoctoral appointments — that do not consistently offer support and benefits afforded other researchers, including adequate parental and family leave. Trainees’ benefits sometimes slip through the cracks between the federal funding agency and their academic institution of residence. This fragmented system contributes to a common perception that a research career is incompatible with having a family, further eroding the critical scientific workforce, particularly women.

The Federal Demonstration Partnership (FDP) Task Force on Parental and Family Leave for Research Trainees examined the status of federal and university policies related to parental and family leave for predoctoral and postdoctoral research trainees. The Task Force is a cooperative initiative of individuals from research universities and non-profits working collaboratively with federal agency officials to create a series of broadly adopted policies and procedures that promote a healthy and effective research infrastructure. To this end, this Task Force report:

(1) Reviews existing federal regulations and policies related to parental and family leave benefits;
(2) Describes the issues impacting parental and family leave benefits for research trainees;
(3) Examines how academic institutions interpret policies with regard to predoctoral and postdoctoral research trainees and family and medical leave; and
(4) Articulates a series of comprehensive next steps, including compiling recommendations from Federal agencies, researchers, academic institutions, and policy analysts to improve the implementation of family and medical leave for research trainees.

Background

Protecting employees from various forms of discrimination is an important role of federal and state governments. The potential impact of pregnancy and parenting on career development has been a focal point of legal scholars and lawmakers since the late 1960s, resulting in a series of laws and regulations, including the Pregnancy Discrimination Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act, Title IX, and the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-21. Family-friendly policies and benefits — including paid parental leave, short-term disability leave, unpaid extended family leave supported by the Family and Medical Leave Act, and paid or subsidized childcare — are becoming more commonplace across the U.S. Unfortunately for research trainees, however, receiving parental or family leave benefits is uncommon. According to researchers at the University of California at Berkeley, the paid maternity leave for academic populations at Association of American Universities member institutions, only 23 percent of postdoctoral researchers were entitled to at least six weeks of paid leave following childbirth. Only 13 percent of academic institutions offered paid leave to graduate researchers and some
academic institutions had an institutional cap on the number of individuals who could receive paid leave at a given academic institution.²

Straddling the Divide
Determining parental and family leave policies for any given research trainee can be a complicated dance between institutional policies and those required or allowed by funding agencies. There are three primary, interdependent factors in determining the benefits available: (1) the source of funding (individual training grants, institutional training grants, or research grants to their mentors, which have different implications for employee status and benefits); (2) the policies and benefits structure at their academic institution, that can sometimes result in postdoctoral researchers working side by side performing the same duties but with different benefits; and (3) the particular circumstances of the research trainee, including what they need, where they are in their career, and the research they are doing. The ranges in benefits include: fixed versus accrued sick leave, leaves of absence or accommodation, withdrawal and re-entry programs, and dependent care/childcare.

Steps Forward
Several efforts are underway to improve the situation for research trainees. The National Postdoctoral Association held a summit in 2010 addressing the postdoctoral stage of women’s careers, the National Science Foundation Career-Life Balance Initiative was recently launched with a ten-year plan to provide greater flexibility to men and women in research careers, and the NIH Working Group on Women in Biomedical Careers addresses issues related to the entry, retention, and sustained advancement of women in all levels of scientific careers. At academic institutions across the nation, many people are working to better the experience for research trainees, and to assist them, their supervisors, and the various departments in navigating this complicated landscape. In many cases, task forces are forming, FAQs and policy papers are being written, and outreach is underway to inform faculty and research trainees of existing policies and options. In at least one case, postdoctoral researchers are banding together to make change. At the University of California, postdoctoral researchers successfully formed a union and ratified their first contract in 2010 to address hours, benefits, and wages.

This Task Force report describes findings from FDP member institution representatives and data from prior reports to provide a consolidated summary of recommendations for the equitable treatment of postdoctoral researchers and employees with regard to parental and family leave. These recommendations suggest (1) collaboration and partnerships; (2) further research into existing and efficacious programs and their costs; (3) policy reform, including a minimum baseline for all research trainees; (4) institutional climate change and support, including transparency, zero tolerance for discrimination, and mentoring programs; and (5) increased outreach and dissemination of clear policies at academic institutions and federal agencies.

The FDP and the Task Force on Parental and Family Leave for Research Trainees recognizes and joins the efforts made across the nation to support change to the existing systems and policies for research trainees in general, and with respect to family and parental leave policies.
A Forgotten Class of Scientists\textsuperscript{1}: Examining the Parental and Family Benefits Available to Research Trainees


Scientific progress relies upon a cadre of developing scientists and engineers at academic institutions, federal agencies, and private organizations across the country who are critical to the advancement of science, accounting for “a great deal of the extraordinary productivity of the United States’ academic science and engineering enterprise.”\textsuperscript{3} These research trainees rely upon their pre- and postdoctoral research appointments and experience to expand their education, and ultimately, further their research careers and future tenure-track employment. As such, it is a mutually beneficial enterprise. Yet, as these young scientists are pursuing their academic ambitions, they are also involved in other important aspects of their lives. They are often raising families, or considering this possibility. They may be caring for other family members, such as aging parents. The ages for postdoctoral researchers cover a wide range, with the vast majority (over 70\%) between 28 and 35. In 2003, more than two-thirds of postdoctoral researchers were married, and more than one-third had children.\textsuperscript{4}

Despite the critical role research trainees fill in the nation’s advancement of science and the development of the research workforce, and the widely understood life stage that prevails for many of them, the existing policies and regulations that govern postdoctoral life do not consistently support adequate parental and family leave policies for them. Research trainees frequently straddle two systems—the federal funding agency and their academic institution of residence—and, as such, their benefits have been known to slip through the cracks. This fragmented system contributes to a common perception that a research career is incompatible with having a family, further eroding the critical scientific workforce. Developing scientists, particularly women, may not pursue research careers, or may postpone or abandon them in seeking work more supportive of their needs to balance work and family.\textsuperscript{5}

This report from the FDP Task Force on Parental and Family Leave for Research Trainees provides an overview of federal and university policies for predoctoral and postdoctoral research trainees and assembles recommendations previously made to support a better experience for research trainees in the U.S.

\textbf{Background}

The Federal Demonstration Partnership’s Task Force on Parental and Family Leave for Research Trainees is examining the status of federal and university policies (including the Family and Medical Leave Act, Title IX, and Circular A-21) related to parental and family leave for predoctoral and postdoctoral research trainees. The Task Force hopes, through this effort, to identify areas of strength and weakness and use these findings as a springboard for the creation of broadly adopted policies and procedures that promote a healthy and effective research infrastructure.
The Federal Demonstration Partnership (FDP) is a unique forum for individuals from research universities and non-profits to work collaboratively with federal agency officials to improve the national research enterprise. The FDP is a cooperative initiative among ten federal agencies and 119 academic institutions that receive federal research funds with a primary purpose of reducing the administrative burden associated with research regulations and practices while continuing to ensure strong stewardship of federal funds. The Partnership is administered by the Government-University-Industry Research Roundtable (GUIRR) of the National Academies. Each academic institution has administrative, faculty and technical representation, who work closely with policy makers and scientific and administrative leaders of the involved federal agencies to streamline the administration of federally sponsored research. Federal Demonstration Partnership members from all sectors cooperate in identifying, testing, and implementing new, more effective ways of managing the more than $15 billion in federal research grants. The goal of improving the productivity of research without compromising its stewardship has benefits for the entire nation.

This report reviews:
1. An overview of predoctoral and postdoctoral research training in the United States.
2. Federal regulations and policies related to parental and family leave benefits.
3. A description of issues impacting parental and family leave benefits for research trainees.
4. How academic institutions interpret policies with regard to predoctoral and postdoctoral research trainees and family and medical leave.
5. Next steps, including a comprehensive collection of recommendations from federal agencies, researchers, academic institutions, and policy analysts to improve the implementation of family and medical leave for research trainees.

Federal Policies
Protecting employees from various forms of discrimination is an important role of federal and state governments. The potential impact of pregnancy and parenting on career development has been a focal point of legal scholars and lawmakers since the late 1960s, culminating in a 1978 amendment to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act protecting employees from discrimination, known as the Pregnancy Discrimination Act, which added pregnancy to the list of protected statuses. Currently, there are several federal policies related to family and parental leave that are applied by federal agencies, states, and academic institutions in developing programs for academia, which include varying benefits for students, research trainees, and faculty.

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender in any educational program or activity receiving federal financial assistance. These regulations do require pregnancy leave but do not explicitly require academic institutions to have or disseminate a formal policy. Federal law prohibits educational institutions that receive financial assistance from federal agencies from discriminating against students due to pregnancy or parental status in their admissions or employment. How this law applies to particular postdoctoral researchers across the country varies widely, but an analysis by the
Center for American Progress suggests a reasonable argument could be made that institutions without a clear policy on pregnancy leave for postdoctoral researchers are out of compliance with Title IX.7

The Family and Medical Leave Act entitles eligible employees to as much as 12 weeks of unpaid leave with continuing benefits for family-related purposes, including pregnancy and maternity leave.8 It applies to employees who have worked for at least a year for a minimum number of hours.9 Many states also have their own laws allowing for unpaid family leave.10 There are two primary problems in applying the Family and Medical Leave Act to postdoctoral researchers as a group: Postdoctoral researchers are paid and classified differently based upon type of funding. Some receive stipends directly and do not have access to employee benefits of the academic institution. Others are paid salaries, often using grant monies to the academic institution, and may receive employee benefits, such as those granted by the Family and Medical Leave Act.11 In addition, because postdoctoral fellowships or traineeships are generally temporary positions, the one-year work requirement is limiting for many researchers. Research trainees may need to look to other options to seek support for their family leave.12

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) established Circular A-21,13 which helps define costs that the federal government can reimburse on sponsored projects and provides guidance regarding how institutions should treat direct expenses, indirect costs, or unallowable costs. Circular A-21 also contains a requirement for the consistent treatment of a single cost category within an institution, including those associated with benefits for employees, trainees, and staff within the same institutional classification. The application of Circular A-21 to postdoctoral researchers is therefore highly dependent on both university policies and individual federal agency program requirements. While Circular A-21 ensures consistency within individual institutional classifications, some individual federal agency program regulations might encourage academic institutions to create different classifications among postdoctoral researchers in order to comply with both Circular A-21 and agency requirements. In the National Research Service Award program, for example, recipients cannot be classified as employees of the academic institution (see below). In many cases, institutions have created two classifications to accommodate these rules: one postdoctoral researcher group comprises salaried employees with benefits, while the other group comprises non-employee trainees paid via stipends and not entitled to the same benefits as employees. In the absence of a university policy or federal rule requiring a single employment category for the postdoctoral researcher, institutions might be unintentionally fostering differential treatment among postdoctoral researchers in order to comply with both Circular A-21 and federal program rules. Universities could potentially reduce many disparities between postdoctoral researchers that arise from having different funding sources by ensuring equal benefits packages regardless of institutional classification.

Who are Research Trainees?
Research training placements are, by nature, temporary appointments. Predoctoral researchers on traineeships or fellowships are typically receiving training while they pursue their doctoral degrees. The work of postdoctoral researchers engaging in traineeships or fellowships
immediately follows completion of doctoral work, and generally ranges from 1-5 years, with an average duration of 3.8 years. There is an assumption that the training position is designed to transition the trainees to independent investigator status, and a limited time is allotted to these appointments. Further, these postdoctoral research appointments are often extremely competitive. Some postdoctoral researchers may be willing to forego benefits in order to be seen as more competitive, or they may fear that increased benefits may ultimately reduce the number of postdoctoral positions available.

Postdoctoral researchers are training positions funded in a variety of ways. Some are supported by individual training grants (such as a NIH F32) or an institutional training grants (such as a NIH T32) and are considered trainees and generally do not receive additional benefits to their stipend. Others are supported by their mentor’s research grant or by another grant mechanism (such as by a private industry or foundation) and are generally considered employees, are taxed as such, and receive the benefits of other employees. The funding mechanism can determine how they are paid and their employment benefits. For example, by federal law, National Research Service Award trainees are not allowed to be employees of their academic institution because they are trainees and not “performing service” as required to be classified as an employee. These postdoctoral trainees must be paid a stipend (as opposed to a salary), which means that academic institutions are responsible for the costs of benefits to research trainees funded through this mechanism. National Research Service Award trainees also do not pay Federal Insurance Contribution Act (FICA), although reasons for this are varied, either because they are not classified as self-employed, or because National Research Service Award stipends have been determined to be "loans" against future service and therefore not considered taxable income.

Although none of these rules prohibits trainees from receiving equitable benefits, it is not clear what reimbursement mechanisms would allow for reimbursement to the institution, as training grants through the National Research Service Award are currently limited to 8% indirect cost allowance, which does not cover the university’s costs in either training or benefits. Some have suggested that Congressional intervention is needed, allowing National Research Service Award trainees to be considered employees.

Predoctoral researchers have received their bachelor degree are enrolled in a graduate program. Funding for these research trainees comes from various sources, and as with postdoctoral researchers, the type of funding can determine the benefits available.

Although all research trainees are potentially impacted by policies on family and medical leave, these policies generally impact women researchers more significantly. More women are choosing science and engineering majors at academic institutions across the U.S. Women make up a significant portion of the talent pool for research and are critical to the continued production of a competitive scientific workforce in the U.S. However, multiple data sources indicate that women are more likely than men to “leak out of the science pipeline” before obtaining a tenured position at an academic institution. The coincidence of the research training period with a woman’s prime childbearing years is a particular concern, as it generally
impacts women more than men. As the age of tenure track positions and first time grant receipt increases, this overlap is becoming more prevalent.19

Several efforts are underway to improve this situation. In 2010, the National Postdoctoral Association held a National Summit on Gender and the Postdoctorate to examine the postdoctoral stage of women’s careers and consider the key factors influencing their transition to independent investigator positions, including the implementation of family-friendly postdoctoral policies.20 A panel on why women postdoctoral researchers leave the academic pipeline suggested the primary factor appears to be family formation, and pointed to the complications presented in having children during postdoctoral training as a significant hindrance. The recently launched “National Science Foundation Career-Life Balance Initiative” is a ten-year plan to provide greater flexibility to men and women in research careers. It recommends a series of best practices that the National Science Foundation plans to extend Foundation-wide, such as allowing researchers to delay the start of their grants for up to one year in order to care for a new child or other family obligations.21 In collaboration, the White House announced a series of efforts launched in September 2011 to engage and support women in the sciences. These include a partnership between the Association of Public and Land-Grant Universities and the Association of American Universities to work with the National Science Foundation to support more flexible work and learning environments for women. In addition, the Association for Women in Science is launching an initiative to identify and promote characteristics of the ideal research workplace. This report builds on these substantial efforts from the unique perspective of the federal-academic partnership of the Federal Demonstration Partnership.

**Parental and Family Benefits**

Family-friendly policies and benefits are becoming more commonplace across the U.S., sustaining a healthier and more productive balance of family life and work for men and women. They can include paid parental leave, short-term disability leave, unpaid extended family leave supported by the Family and Medical Leave Act,22 and paid or subsidized childcare. In the case of research trainees (and tenure track faculty), time may be an added pressure. These issues have come to the forefront for faculty regarding the tenure track process, and the time pressures associated with it, but they are also relevant to research trainees, who may have limited time within their appointments and the funding associated with them. Programs that permit delays are more conducive to families. In some cases, re-entry programs for developing scientists who have taken a hiatus to start a family may also be helpful.

Unfortunately, for research trainees, receiving parental or family leave benefits is uncommon. Researchers at the University of California at Berkeley surveyed the paid maternity leave for academic populations at Association of American Universities member institutions, revealing a wide range of coverage with only 23 percent of postdoctoral researchers entitled to at least six weeks of paid leave following childbirth. Only 13 percent of academic institutions offered paid leave to graduate researchers and some academic institutions had an institutional cap on the number of individuals who could receive paid leave at a given academic institution.23
There are three primary elements that determine the parental and family benefits for a research trainee: (1) source and type of funding, including the research trainee’s appointment classification; (2) the policies and benefits structure at their academic institution; and (3) the particular circumstance of the research trainee, including what they need, where they are in their career, and the research they are doing.

**Source and Type of Funding**
The federal policies guiding the financial support and benefit structures for research trainees are complicated, and have been interpreted in a variety of ways by federal funding entities, academic institutions, and private organizations. Federal funding agencies develop policies for their grantees based upon the type of funding trainees receive. In the recent past, efforts have been made for more family-friendly policies, including provisions for no-cost extensions, allowing part-time work, and supporting grant supplements for the birth, care, or adoption of a child.24

There are a multiple federal agencies that provide funding for Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics research trainees. The FDP Task Force reviewed the websites and spoke with staff of some of the primary funders, as well as consulted additional sources, to understand their policies and provide a sampling of the diversity amongst them.

The **National Institutes of Health** (NIH) is the largest source of funding of biological research in the U.S.25 According to the National Institutes of Health Family-Friendly Initiatives,26 their grant awards “allow for reimbursement of actual, allowable costs incurred for child care, parental leave, or additional technical support provided such costs are incurred under formally-established institutional policies that are consistently applied regardless of the source of support.” The most common postdoctoral fellowship funded by the National Institutes of Health, the National Research Service Award (NRSA), however, allows trainees to receive stipends for up to 8 weeks of parental leave “when those in comparable training positions at the grantee organization have access to this level of paid leave.” Unpaid family leave may be available, if approved. For the National Institutes of Health career development awards, awardees “may request adjustments to their appointment status or percent effort for personal or family situations such as parental leave, child care, elder care, medical conditions, or a disability.” They may request, for instance, to reduce the amount of hours worked from full (100%) time to three-quarters (75%) time for up to a year. Some awards from the National Institutes of Health also allow for what is known as an administrative supplement, in which case the PI can request additional funds due to unforeseen circumstances, including the parental or family leave needs of a research trainee.27 However, there is no formal or publicized policy to guide these supplements.

The **National Science Foundation** (NSF) supports general science research, with parental leave policies that are generally handled on a case-by-case basis. The National Science Foundation must be notified in all cases of leaves of absence longer than three months. For leaves of up to three months, no approval is needed; if longer than three months, with intent to return, arrangements for oversight and continued research need to be approved. The National Science
Foundation provides options for their grantees in the case of the birth, care, or adoption of a child. For example, if the trainee knows in advance, she or he may defer funding for a year. The National Science Foundation also allows medical leave if the academic institution policies concur. With their recent initiative, these policies are subject to change.²⁸

For the National Aeronautic and Space Administration (NASA), research fellows are not considered employees, and therefore, there are no employment-related benefits such as paid vacations, sick leave, or unemployment compensation. With approval, a fellow may be excused without cessation of his or her stipend for brief periods due to illness, personal emergencies, or other unforeseen circumstances. NASA will grant unpaid maternity leave approved by the advisor. The stipend will be suspended during this time and will be extended by the number of days of approved maternity leave upon return. Of note, the fellow must pay the full insurance premium while on maternity leave, that is, NASA’s portion and the fellow’s portion of the premium combined.

The Federal Demonstration Partnership also includes other federal agencies that fund postdoctoral researchers. Using the web to search their programs, the FDP Task Force found different approaches to parental and family leave policies and applications similarly based around particular program announcements, research area, location, or degree. For example, the Department of Defense funds postdoctoral research through a variety of mechanisms including young investigator and resident researcher programs within their military branches, such as the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Army Research Office, and the Army Medical Research and Materiel Command. The Office of Naval Research and the National Institutes of Health consider parental and family leave a fringe benefit, included in the academic institution’s benefits costs and regulated by the Circular A-21 to ensure equitable distribution. The Agriculture Research Service within the U.S. Department of Agriculture funds postdoctoral research associate positions as federal grade level employees, with salary and some benefits available after a year of appointment. Annual and sick leave can be earned and used.²⁹ The Environmental Protection Agency has postdoctoral programs that include federal benefits across their centers.³⁰ The FDP Task Force could find only limited information regarding the family and medical leave benefits for research trainees within the Department of Homeland Security. Finally, some research trainees are funded by their own research institution or through private means. In these cases, some of the federal regulations apply, and some do not.

**Academic Institution Policies**

The parental and family leave policies for research trainees at academic institutions are considerably varied, and often awash in confusion between institutional policies and those required or allowed by funding agencies. Many academic institutions are working to better the experience for research trainees, and to assist them, their supervisors, and the various departments in navigating this complicated landscape. In many cases, task forces are forming, FAQs and policy papers are being written, and outreach is underway to inform faculty and research trainees of existing policies and options.
In at least one case, postdoctoral researchers are banding together to make change. At the University of California, postdoctoral researchers successfully formed a union and ratified their first contract in 2010 to address hours, benefits, and wages. The contract with the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW) and the University of California includes three categories of UC postdoctoral researchers: postdoctoral scholar-employees, postdoctoral scholar-fellows (who receive their stipend from a UC compensation system but are not employees), and the postdoctoral scholar-paid directs (who get their stipend directly without campus involvement and who are not employees). All postdoctoral scholars are required to be part of the union. All categories of postdoctoral scholars receive workers compensation, accidental death and disability, and short-term disability at no cost to them; depending on the negotiation with the faculty sponsor, the postdoctoral scholar may be responsible for the payment of the health insurance premium.

To get a better picture of the breadth of programs and policies across U.S. institutions the Federal Demonstration Partnership Task Force invited faculty representatives from its 119 member academic institutions (public and private) to respond to a series of questions on the parental and family leave policies at their academic institution for research trainees:

- What is the situation for federally funded research trainees related to parental and family leave?
- Do trainees have an option for parental and family leave? If so, how is it funded?
- Are the existing programs working? Are they consistent across schools and departments, and types of funding?
- Have there been any initiatives to address these issues? If so, what are goals, who has been involved, and what progress has been made?
- What barriers or challenges still need to be addressed?

While not necessarily a representative sampling of U.S. academic institutions, the 13 responses received are not surprising. Each institution is different. There appears to be little collaboration across academic institutions or with federal agencies to make active efforts to improve the process, although many institutions are independently addressing these issues. At the least, this is a case of wasted resources. It creates confusion and multiple interpretations of already complicated policies. For example, Title IX requires that institutions provide unpaid, job protected leave to birth mothers “for a reasonable period of time,” the absence of a formal policy could lead to the institution being in violation of Title IX if a postdoctoral scholar was informally granted less than what could be considered reasonable. One academic institution that responded to a survey conducted by the University of California at Berkeley indicated it does not provide unpaid leave to postdoctoral researcher birth mothers and six indicated that they did not know whether they did or not. Note that the absence of a formal policy requires postdoctoral researchers to negotiate for leave, which places an undue burden on them and is likely to create inequitable situations.

The following are issues identified by responses from Federal Demonstration Partnership academic institution members, which combine in some cases to create the perception of an unfriendly climate for researchers who have or want to have families.


**Multiple Classifications**

One of the major complicating factors for these parenting and family benefits are the position classifications required for access to them. According to the National Academies Committee on Women in Science, Engineering, and Medicine, at some institutions, postdoctoral researchers are not classified as students or as employees and therefore receive the benefits and protections of neither. Institutions are working with multiple classifications for research trainees based mostly upon type of grant monies received, which determine whether benefits are paid, partially paid, or unpaid. Postdoctoral researchers can work side-by-side performing the same duties but have different benefits.

A respondent from an academic institution in the Northwest indicated that because they are non-employees who are receiving stipends, postdoctoral researcher trainees on NIH T32 grants are not allowed to accrue sick and vacation leave, as postdoctoral researchers who are classified as employees do. Postdoctoral researchers on training grants cannot get the 60 days of paid parental leave allowed by National Institutes of Health, but are given up to 15 days of paid sick leave and 30 days of paid parental leave, provided they haven’t used the sick leave. Any remainder of leave would be unpaid. In principle, the trainee’s department could compensate them from general funds, but this was uncommon. Moreover, at this academic institution, the principal investigators were told that they must compensate trainees so those performing the same work have similar benefits. However, having no system to formally track accruals, and being prohibited from doing so, they have no mechanism to apply like benefits. This institution is attempting to clarify these issues with a formal trainee leave policy.

Often, predoctoral researchers, if they have access to any parental or family benefits at all, are required to be full time students in good academic standing. Since they have already graduated from an educational program, student benefits are usually not available to postdoctoral researchers, although one academic institution reported they require their postdoctoral researchers to enroll in at least one course per academic year so they will, under the academic institution policies, be eligible for student benefits.

One school in the Midwest established a Postdoctoral Education Policy for schools of arts and sciences, engineering, science, medicine, and social work. The policy includes a minimum benefits and leave package that applies to all postdoctoral researchers, regardless of funding source. A key part of the policy is the creation of school-specific, separate title classifications for postdoctoral researchers to distinguish them from students, faculty and staff. Additionally, individual schools established a minimum salary/stipend, uniform sick and vacation leave policies, and optional benefits packages that include disability and medical insurance.

**Fixed versus Accrued Sick Leave**

For employees, leave benefits at academic institutions are offered at a fixed amount or based upon ongoing accrual of sick leave hours. Given the temporary nature of postdoctoral research appointments, the accrual policies can be particularly challenging, as they do not allot enough work time to accrue sufficient leave to handle a typical parental leave situation. The fixed rates generally come at the start of a job, or after a short probationary period. Even the Family and
Medical Leave Act, for unpaid leave, has standards in place requiring a certain amount of time the employee has worked prior to being eligible for leave and the number of hours worked per week (e.g., at least 20 hours per week) for the benefits to be accessible. In some academic institutions, the university medical leave policy will supplement the Family and Medical Leave Act. One academic representative reported the institution is working to incentivize external training fellowships, such as T32s, and provide a step toward equity within the postdoctoral community, by using non-federal funds to supplement available benefits to provide a more competitive benefits package. At present, these are handled on a case-by-case basis.

**Leave of Absence and Accommodation**

Some universities allow trainees who want parental or family leave to take leaves of absence or make other accommodations to reduce their workload. Students may interrupt their study and forgo continued financial support during a leave of absence, which can be supported through short-term disability insurance in some institutions. According to the Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978, if an academic institution provides disability benefits for physical disability, they are required to also provide them for pregnancy and childbirth.³³ This may be a particularly useful approach to pregnancies with complications. Alternately, accommodation policies are intended to provide relief from full-time responsibilities while providing continuing financial support. Of course, if a trainee’s effort is reduced on a federally funded project, compensation must be reduced as well.

Accommodation policies are often applied to extend the timeframe for research trainees to finish their work. Similar policies have been developed to extend the tenure clock for faculty who use parental and family leave during the tenure process. For example, one private academic institution on the West Coast has developed a parental accommodation policy for all graduate students, including those funded as predoctoral trainees in training grants. This policy permits pregnant graduate students to remain enrolled during the period around the birth of the child. The policy allows the mother an additional quarter to complete academic institution and program milestones and a two-quarter period of scheduling flexibility around papers, tests, and other coursework. Because the trainee remains enrolled, the academic institution anticipates federal funding will not be affected. If paid by a stipend, however, they will need to certify the trainee’s effort on the project. This particular academic institution also registers postdoctoral researchers as non-matriculated, non-degree seeking students affording them similar benefits.

**Withdrawal and Re-entry**

Some students and postdoctoral researchers choose to terminate their training indefinitely or entirely upon the birth of a child. At most universities, predoctoral research trainees who withdraw in good standing may apply for readmission, and postdoctoral students may also be welcomed back, depending upon the length of time away and available funding. There are blossoming re-entry programs appearing around the U.S.,³⁴ particularly for women researchers to aid the transition back into the research realm following a break after the birth of a child. The National Institutes of Health Office of Research on Women’s Health has established a re-entry supplement program for National Institutes of Health-funded postdoctoral researchers.
who have taken time off to care for children or others and want to update their research skills and knowledge. These returning scientists are eligible to apply for supplements for full or part-time work in preparation for a career development award, a research award, or some other form of independent research support.35

Safety Concerns
In some cases, exposure to chemicals, radiation, or other biological agents can pose a health risk for a developing fetus.36 Academic institutions generally have policies for handling pregnancy, and often breastfeeding mothers, among faculty and staff,37 including ways to have the work environment evaluated confidentially before notifying mentor and co-workers about the pregnancy.38 A research trainee who needs to remove herself from the laboratory during the course of her pregnancy may need to negotiate disability leave or accommodations to protect her stipend, her position, or her benefits.39 It is not clear what protections may be in place for trainees in this situation, as it appears to be managed informally on a case-by-case basis.

Infrastructure
Some academic institutions do not have appropriate mechanisms or infrastructure in place to support family or parental leave for research trainees, even when funding is made available. For example, a researcher at one medium-sized public, primarily undergraduate university in the Midwest received a grant from the National Institutes of Health that required a postdoctoral researcher. The academic institution had no defined postdoctoral positions. The administrators struggled with the possibilities of using a non-tenure track faculty position with benefits or a graduate research assistant, but both had legal or procedural issues. This academic institution is currently developing a postdoctoral trainee position, with policies and procedures, in consultation with a newly developed task force on family-friendly policies. Other universities that have regular research trainees also struggle with infrastructure issues in trying to provide family friendly policies for them. Many are working to make accommodations within their own systems.

Several academic institutions are taking steps to provide consistent family and parental leave for trainees. If an institution pays for parental leave for their own employees, then the costs of providing leave to comparable grants-funded employees is an allowable cost on federal grants and can be built into the calculation of fringe benefits or indirect costs. For example, a mid-sized, East Coast, land-grant university is developing a parental leave policy for all funded graduate students. Because the benefit is available to all students, whether supported by university or federal funds, the cost of parental leave for graduate research assistants can be charged as an indirect cost or fringe benefit to the grants that support them. The institution will establish a central fund that can provide a stipend to research trainees while on leave, without relying on direct charges to grants. A similar approach could be used to maintain total compensation for trainees with reduced workloads.

International Students
There are many international students participating in research training programs in the U.S. Of
the 48,000 postdoctoral researchers in the U.S. in 2005, 55 percent of these scholars were non-U.S. citizens. Their status and access to parental and family benefits are generally handled differently from those of U.S. citizens, and with an alternate cast of officials and regulations, including the Department of Homeland Security. These additional regulations and issues, such as maintaining a valid work visa, further complicate the family and parental leave benefits. Further, international students are not usually eligible for state and federal benefits, such as student loans, or healthcare for children or low-income parents (for which American citizen trainees are eligible).

**Dependent Care/Childcare**

Determining whether research trainees have access to paid or subsidized childcare depends upon the classification of the trainee, and the benefits tied to that classification, as well as whether costs are covered by the funding agency. In the case of the National Science Foundation, if childcare expenses are included in the calculation of fringe benefits for employees, grant funds may be used to cover the expenses for postdoctoral researchers as well provided the benefit is available equally to all employees. However, it is rare that universities include childcare costs in calculations of fringe benefits. Administrators at one large private academic institution on the East Coast pointed to the availability and cost of childcare as one of the most significant issues facing postdoctoral researchers with small children. This particular academic institution has several childcare centers on site, but the wait lists for infants are very long, the cost is prohibitive for most research trainees and costs are not covered by trainees’ benefit package. The respondent indicated the academic institution offers several scholarship programs to help with child care costs. Several large public universities have created emergency childcare programs for faculty and research trainees, to assist when regular childcare falls through, including in cases of illness.

**Next Steps**

A number of prior reports have addressed the family and parental leave issues facing institutions, public, and private funders of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics research trainees across the U.S. The potential avenues for intervention are wide, and most recognize the need for shared responsibility in making change, including federal agencies, universities, independent interest groups, and others. Academic institutions and federal funders must find ways to demonstrate the value that postdoctoral researchers bring to the scientific enterprise while balancing competing demands to move them forward in their careers, help them find funding, and manage benefits and taxation problems.

Several large-scale efforts are moving the issue forward. The recently released National Science Foundation Career-Life Balance Initiative, in partnership with the White House, provided a series of plans and recommendations for the future. Researchers at the University of California at Berkeley have surveyed existing programs, summarized findings, and suggested future steps in multiple publications. The National Academies have various committees issuing reports with recommendations from different perspectives from enhancing the postdoctoral training experience to fulfilling the potential of women in the sciences. A legal analysis of issues related to medical leave benefits for research trainees at academic institutions has provided...
recommendations to ensure academic institutions are not in violation of laws.\textsuperscript{46} The NIH created the Working Group on Women in Biomedical Careers to consider issues related to the entry, retention, and sustained advancement of women in all levels of scientific careers. The Working Group collaborates with the NIH Institutes and Centers to promote tangible changes to institutional policies in the NIH intramural and extramural communities, several of which were included in this report.\textsuperscript{47} Many universities have also completed their own independent analyses and developed next steps for change. For example, the University of Notre Dame Graduate School has published policies on creating a more family-friendly environment for graduate students and their childbirth and adoption accommodation policy for graduate students.\textsuperscript{48} The potential avenues for intervention must recognize the need for shared responsibility among federal agencies and universities in making change.

The FDP Task Force has reviewed these prior reports and provides a consolidated summary of their recommendations. Recommendations, in general, suggest that in order to increase equity and maintain a pipeline of women in science, it would be appropriate to require, establish, document, and disseminate clear policies at both universities and federal agencies that provide equitable treatment for postdoctoral researchers and employees with regard to parental and family leave.

**Communicate and Collaborate**
- Forge partnerships across departments, universities, the federal government, and the private sector to research current practices, identify best practices, and reform existing policies and structures.\textsuperscript{49}
- Create a panel of innovators that includes representatives from universities and federal agencies to develop a national approach. For example, the panel could consider the possibilities and administrative challenges to mandating that postdoctoral researchers be provided equivalent benefit packages regardless of their status as employees or fellows.\textsuperscript{50}
- Collect and disseminate policy statements, frequently asked questions, and analyses of existing practices and methods for academic institutions to apply federal laws in a manner equitable to predoctoral and postdoctoral research trainees.\textsuperscript{51}

**Research**
- Determine measures to evaluate the efficacy of existing programs and policies, seeking best practices with potential for evaluation and universal application.\textsuperscript{52}
- Support continued research to ensure current and future policies are effective and in compliance with federal regulations, such as OMB Circular A-21 and Title IX.\textsuperscript{53}
- Research costs of existing programs and policies nationwide, including the costs of not having clear policies.\textsuperscript{54}
- Consider an economic analysis to determine the cost to the U.S. scientific endeavor of losing potential scientists whose careers were averted because of perceptions or reality that careers in academic research are not consistent with having a family.\textsuperscript{55}
- Study the transition period from postdoctoral researcher to research career in academia, government, and industry to: (1) evaluate the lengthening of the
postdoctoral training period and its effects on women, in particular; and (2) examine the value of postdoctoral traineeships compared to postdoctoral employment on scientific success.\textsuperscript{56}

**Reform Policy**
- Consistent with National Research Council recommendations from 2000, the NIH should develop a mechanism for support under the National Research Service Award such that postdoctoral fellows receive the employee benefits of the institution in which they are located.\textsuperscript{57}
- NIH could consider an increase in the indirect cost rate on NRSA training grants and K awards from 8 percent to the negotiated rate currently applied to research grants, which would support universities in providing equitable benefits to trainees and employees.\textsuperscript{58}
- Promote a minimum baseline of family responsive policies for birth and adoption for all research trainees, such as adequate paid leave, such as that consistent with the Family and Medical Leave Act.\textsuperscript{59}
- Develop and require universal policies for research trainees, such as a childbirth accommodation policy, access to part time or shared work schedules, clock extensions, and re-entry fellowships.\textsuperscript{60}
- Consider accommodation policies for pregnant predoctoral and postdoctoral researchers in labs to allow for career progress during pregnancy if safety practices (e.g., potentially hazardous chemicals, radiation) removed them from lab work during their pregnancy. Accommodations covered by policies could include an extended period of funding, paid maternity leave, and conditions of their return to work.\textsuperscript{61}
- Consider options for childcare benefits for trainees, both at home\textsuperscript{62} and as an allowable expense at conferences.\textsuperscript{63}
- Expand re-entry programs for women who have had a break in research service due to the birth or care of a child. The National Institutes of Health program may serve as a model for other federal agencies.\textsuperscript{64}

**Change Climate**
- Consistent with American Association of University Women’s recommendations from 2009, align institutional practices and policies with the realities facing today’s parents and families.\textsuperscript{65}
- Maintain zero tolerance policy for discriminatory and disparaging comments and behaviors from faculty, staff, and students.\textsuperscript{66}
- Establish and maintain transparency in promotion procedures and expectations for trainees.\textsuperscript{67}

**Increase Outreach and Dissemination**
- Actively highlight, advertise, and support family accommodation policies and guidelines for all research trainees.\textsuperscript{58}
- Highlight to predoctoral and postdoctoral researchers the availability of resources to assist them, including the National Postdoctoral Association’s “A Postdoc’s Guide to
Pregnancy and Maternity Leave,” which provides practical considerations, including a timeline, for research trainees to keep their research underway (available at http://www.nationalpostdoc.org/publications/563-maternity-guide); the National Postdoctoral Advance program’s companion guide on paternity and adoption leave (available at www.nationalpostdoc.org/advance), and U.S. Department of Labor’s comparison of federal and state medical leave laws (available at www.dol.gov/whd/state/fmla/index.htm).

- Publicize the availability of family friendly policies to increase the future research workforce.69

Provide Support
- Provide a part-time or full-time campus-wide family advocate for graduate student and research trainee parents who would be available for counsel on the policies and programs available.70
- Support principal investigators with clear information on what is available to the research trainee and during their period of absence, such as rules for no-cost extensions and supplemental funds for temporary employees to continue research.71
- Initiate mentoring programs, with incentives for improved mentoring.72,73
- Create standard procedures for grievances.72

Conclusions
The existing parental and family leave policies for research trainees result in a series of losses: potential researchers may not pursue research careers, or they may postpone or abandon them midstream; research institutions may lose their productive workforce; the pace of science and discovery may be reduced; and the nation may compromise its competitive advantage scientific research. On the economic side alone, it is a case of wasted resources, and potential scientists lost at some point in the pipeline. But as many have become increasingly aware, it is more than a matter of economics. It amounts to fostering and mentoring individuals, and paving the way for the next generation of scientists and engineers, as well as for the future of scientific research.

The FDP Task Force recognizes the efforts made across the nation to support change to the existing systems and policies. We hope this report can be used to expand upon these rising tides to improve the experiences for research trainees in general, and with respect to family and parental leave policies.
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The Next Step for Female Scientists

By Mary Ann Mason

"The folks at the NSF understand that you shouldn't be penalized or lose a chance to advance in your career because you are taking care of a new child or a mom or dad who's gotten sick," said Michelle Obama in a White House speech announcing a 10-year plan to help men and women balance research careers with their personal lives.

The new plan from the National Science Foundation includes a "stop the clock" provision on its grants, allowing scientists to defer or suspend their grants for up to a year to accommodate childbirth or adoption. The plan also includes a salary supplement to help pay for a research technician to step in when a grant's principal investigator is on parental leave.

Those policies apply to both mothers and fathers, but clearly it is the steady loss of trained female scientists that prompted the change. "We need all hands on deck," the first lady said. "And that means clearing hurdles for women and girls as they navigate careers in science, technology, engineering, and math." She noted that while women earn 41 percent of the doctoral degrees in the sciences and engineering, women make up only 28 percent of the faculties in those disciplines.

Thank you, Mrs. Obama. This is a good first step, as it symbolizes the administration's recognition of the problem and constructive efforts on the part of NSF to solve it. But it is only a first step.

A mass of bureaucratic and regulatory blockages must be pushed through in order to achieve a flexible workplace in which having both a family and a career is possible. A critical block is that while federal agencies largely finance the graduate students, postdocs, and faculty members who create new scientific breakthroughs, it is universities that determine personnel issues.

For instance, the National Institutes of Health offers a generous eight weeks of paid leave to postdoctoral fellows who receive the National Research Service Award. However, recipients may take that paid leave only "when those in comparable training positions at the grantee organization have access to this level of paid leave." In other words, every postdoc at that university must also be eligible for eight weeks of paid leave—an unlikely circumstance for postdocs who are supported by a wide variety of sources.

Here at the University of California at Berkeley, our national study of the dropout rates of women in the sciences looked at the 61 members of the Association of American
Universities (the top research institutions in the country). We found that only 23 percent of them guaranteed a minimum of six weeks' paid leave for postdocs, and only 13 percent promised the same to graduate students.

Childbirth takes a high toll on the career aspirations of female scientists. Of those who had children while they were postdocs at the University of California, 41 percent indicated that they had shifted their career goals away from becoming a research professor at a university.

Then there are the big discrepancies in providing any kind of family support across the more than a dozen federal agencies that award grants to scientists. The NIH and the NSF give the most money and have gone further than other agencies in offering a variety of family accommodations. Among the top 10 agencies, however, we found that most offer recipients only a no-cost extension of a grant to accommodate childbirth. Two offer nothing at all.

In its newly issued report, "A Forgotten Class of Scientists," the Federal Demonstration Partnership and its Task Force on Parental and Family Leave for Research Trainees focus on graduate students and postdocs, the most vulnerable class of scientists, with the fewest benefits. These are the young female trainees, in their peak childbearing years, who are most likely to abandon a career in research science when they have a child.

The partnership, sponsored by the National Academies, is a cooperative project among 10 federal agencies and 119 academic institutions that receive federal research money. Its study looked closely at the different policies pursued by leading granting agencies and at the laws and regulations that bind the organizations. It also examined how several universities interpreted those laws and regulation. What it found was a tangle of rules and policies at different universities.

"There appears to be little collaboration across academic institutions or with federal agencies to make active efforts to improve the process," the report said, "although many institutions are independently addressing these issues. At the least, this is a case of wasted resources. It creates confusion and multiple interpretations of already complicated policies. For example, although Title IX requires that institutions provide unpaid, job-protected leave to birth mothers 'for a reasonable period of time,' the absence of a formal policy could lead to the institution being in violation of Title IX if a postdoctoral scholar was informally granted less than what could be considered reasonable."

Postdocs in particular have grant money from many sources and therefore often receive different benefits. At one university, a postdoc may receive paid parental leave because he or she is considered an employee, while someone else in the same lab may receive nothing at all, including the right to unpaid leave, if his or her work is paid for from an outside source. Postdocs may not qualify for the national Family Medical Leave Act, which guarantees up to 12 weeks of unpaid leave for childbirth but requires that the employee have been employed for at least a year at the institution.
And there are yet different rules dealing with international students. According to the Federal Demonstration Partnership report, of the 48,000 postdoctoral researchers in the United States in 2005, 55 percent were non-U.S. citizens. Their status and access to parental and family benefits are generally handled differently from those of U.S. citizens, and with an alternate cast of officials and regulations. Those foreign postdocs, if treated well, would be more likely to remain in the United States and become major contributors to our pre-eminence as innovators in science and technology.

The report's recommendations make good sense. They suggest more collaboration and partnerships between federal agencies, universities, and other stakeholders; further research into successful programs that provide parity for postdocs; and several other useful strategies.

Most important, from my point of view, the report suggests the creation of a common baseline of benefits that should be offered by all federal agencies, and a clear outreach and dissemination of the policies for both agencies and universities. These complicated issues require executive leadership. It is time for the Obama administration to take the next step. While the dozens of agencies and hundreds of universities are working with little cooperation, and providing only spotty support for young researchers with families, we are losing some of our best and brightest scientists.

Take the next step. The president could convene a panel to hammer out baseline policies that would become mandatory for all grant agencies and universities. The policies could include salary supplements to the grant for childbirth leave, like those offered by the NSF, and support for re-entry training following an absence of more than a year, to accommodate family needs, like that offered by the NIH. The demonstration project would be an ideal platform for those reforms.

Women are stepping up in much greater numbers to undertake the many years of training, mostly financed by federal agencies, to become cutting-edge scientists. They want to continue their careers in research science and have families. We cannot afford to lose our investment in many of our best minds.

Mary Ann Mason is a professor of law and co-director of the Berkeley Center on Health, Economic & Family Security and a former dean of the Graduate School at the University of California at Berkeley. Her most recent book, with Eve Mason Ekman, is "Mothers on the Fast Track." She is a co-author of "Staying Competitive: Patching America's Leaky Pipeline in the Sciences."