



Faculty Committee Forum and Meeting

Michele Masucci, PhD

Vice President for Research, Temple University

Chair of the Faculty Committee, Co-Chair of the FDP

Robert Nobles, DrPH, MPH, CIP

Vice President for Research Administration, Emory
University

Vice Chair of the Faculty Committee



Faculty Committee Forum and Meeting

Agenda

Welcome to New Institutions and New Faculty Representatives

Membership Participation

Faculty Role in the FDP

About the Faculty Committee

About the Faculty Steering Committee

FDP Phase VII Strategic Goals

Activities and Successes of FDP and Faculty Committee

Scholarly Works Referencing FDP

Current and Future Faculty Activities

Break out discussions

Report back on opportunities for Faculty Engagement

Next Steps



Welcome to New Institutions and New Faculty Representatives

Allen Institute
Boston VA Research Institute
Bowie State University
California Polytechnic State University
California State University Los Angeles
Children's Hospital Los Angeles
Cleveland State University
Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center
Harvard Medical School
Kansas State University
Lehigh University
Loyola Marymount University
Mayo Clinic
MedStar Health Research Institute
Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center
Montana State University
Morehouse College
New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology
North Carolina Agricultural & Technical State University
Oregon State University
Princeton University
Regenstrief Institute, Inc.
Research Institute at Nationwide Children's Hospital
RF SUNY - Binghamton University
RF SUNY - University at Buffalo
RFSUNY - University at Albany
Rochester Institute of Technology
Rutgers, The State University of NJ
Tennessee State University
Tennessee Technological University
Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi

The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard
The Regents of the Univ. Of Calif., U.C. San Diego
The Regents of the University of California, Merced
The Regents of the University of California, Santa Barbara
The Regents of the University of California, Santa Cruz
The Research Foundation for SUNY @ Downstate Medical Center
The Salk Institute for Biological Studies
Thomas Jefferson University
University of California, Riverside (UCR)
University of California at Davis
University of California Berkeley
University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources
University of California, Irvine
University of California, Los Angeles
University of California, San Francisco
University of Louisville
University of Mississippi
University of Nevada Las Vegas
University of Nevada, Reno
University of New Hampshire
University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center
University of Oregon
University of Rhode Island
University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center
University of the District of Columbia
University of Vermont
University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee
University of Wyoming
Vanderbilt University Medical Center
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Wake Forest University Health Sciences
William Marsh Rice University



Membership Participation

- Participation by individuals specializing in compliance, accounting, and other research administration disciplines are encouraged.
- **Participate actively in FDP general membership meetings in-person and on-line.**
- **Participate on committees, subcommittees, or working groups, as appropriate.**
- **Participate in new or ongoing FDP demonstrations, as appropriate.**
- Maintain an accurate and current institutional profile in the FDP Expanded Clearinghouse.
- Use FDP Subaward Templates wherever possible.
- **Complete an Annual Report, as requested.**
- Remit the annual membership dues upon receipt of an invoice.
- **Work hard to reduce administrative burden associated with the management of Federal research awards.**



The Faculty Role in the FDP

- Faculty Representatives have been part of FDP since 1996, the beginning of Phase 3 of the FDP
- Faculty represent experiences in managing federal awards and often serve as a liaison between the FDP and home institutions
- Faculty provide important insights to Federal partners about grant implementation, the institutional environment and their role at the cross-section of research, knowledge dissemination, training and public impact of the nation's research investment



About the Faculty Committee

- **Faculty work as a committee of the whole, but also in a cross cutting fashion across the organization as co-chairs, leaders of demonstrations, liaisons, and heading initiatives**
- The Faculty Committee of the FDP consists of all named institutional faculty representatives of the FDP
- The Faculty Committee meets as a committee of the whole during each FDP meeting
- The Faculty Committee activities are coordinated through a steering committee consisting of co-chairs and liaisons to FDP committees and initiatives; the Steering Committee meets monthly throughout the year to plan the Faculty program activities at FDP meetings and coordinate across initiatives of the FDP



About the Faculty Steering Committee

Connecting throughout the FDP

- Michele Masucci* – Elected Chair of Faculty Committee
- John Hildebrand – National Academies Liaison
- George Uetz – Co-Chair, Communications Committee
- Jerry Cohen – Co-Chair, Joint Application Design (JAD)
- Larry Sutter* – Co-Chair, Membership Committee
- Alice Young – Liaison, Research Administration Committee
- Susan Sloan* – Director of GUIRR
- Sandy Schneider – Lead Scientist, Faculty Workload Survey
- David Robinson* – Liaison, Finance Committee
- Laura McCabe – Liaison, Foreign Influence Working Group
- Jason Carter – Co-Chair, Nominations Working Group
- Robert Nobles* – Co-Chair, Infrastructure Committee

* Members of FDP Executive Committee



FDP Phase VII Strategic Goals

1. Demonstrate positive impact on administrative efficiency and effectiveness
2. Institutionalize evaluation to measure the relevance and impact of FDP
3. Strengthen resources and infrastructure to sustain FDP growth
4. Actively engage community partners – administrators, faculty, and federal representatives
5. Tell a powerful FDP story to internal and external audiences



Activities and Successes of FDP and Faculty Committee

- **Demonstrations**

IRB Wizard | FCIO Clearinghouse | Subrecipient Monitoring Clearinghouse | SciENCv | Star Metrics | CUSP

- **Convening**

Keynotes | Plenaries | Panels | Technical Updates | Roundtable discussions | Seeking and building partnerships

- **Reporting**

Workload Survey | Family Friendly Report | NAS and others |

- **Changing Culture of Research**

FDP Templates | Sharing FDP Updates at Member Institutions | Resources for Improved Research Administration | Leveraging FDP experience at home institutions



Faculty Workload Survey

3rd iteration of survey completed and reported on FDP Website in 2020

- First two surveys of Federal PIs at FDP member institutions showed 42% of effort on grants related to administrative tasks not associated with the research
- Third survey shows that has increased to 44% for Federal PIs

Body of work to support both understanding of faculty work, life, balance, satisfaction with implications for:

- Training next generation of researchers
- Value of science investment
- Highlighting areas for quality and efficiency improvements, leading to FDP voice in a number of administrative burden discussions and leading to new legislation

Informs faculty participation across other activities within FDP as well as at home institution



Scholarly Works Referencing FDP

- Bienenstock, A.** (2002). A fair deal for federal research at universities. *Issues in Science and Technology*, 19(1), 33-37.
- Bollen, J., Crandall, D., Junk, D., Ding, Y., & Börner, K.** (2017). An efficient system to fund science: from proposal review to peer-to-peer distributions. *Scientometrics*, 110(1), 521-528.
- Bozeman, B.** (2015, September). Bureaucratization in academic research policy: perspectives from red tape theory. In *20th International Conference on Science and Technology Indicators, Lugano, Switzerland*.
- Bozeman, B., & Jung, J.** (2017). Bureaucratization in Academic Research Policy: What Causes It?. *Annals of Science and Technology Policy*, 1(2), 133-214.
- Bradshaw, S. A.** (2018). *ELECTRONIC RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION (ERA): UTILIZING A COLLABORATIVE BUY TO NEGOTIATE AN AFFORDABLE SOLUTION* (Doctoral dissertation, Johns Hopkins University).
- Brickley, P.** (2003). US Justice Department investigates researchers: federal agencies want institutions to better account for Pls' working hours.(Profession). *The Scientist*, 17(3), 44-47.
- Casey Jr, J. J.** (2004). Developing harmonious university-industry partnerships. *U. Dayton L. Rev.*, 30, 245.
- Casey Jr, J. J.** (2005). Making a Good Thing Even Better. *Research management review*, 14(2), 10-22.
- Casey, J. J.** (2008). *The University-Industry Demonstration Partnership: An Incremental Improvement to University-Industry Collaboration*. Sydney University Press.
- Decker, R. S., Wimsatt, L., Trice, A. G., & Konstan, J. A.** (2007). A profile of federal-grant administrative burden among Federal Demonstration Partnership faculty. *A Report of the Faculty Standing Committee of the Federal Demonstration Partnership*.
- Ferreira, W. F.** (2011). Academic-Industry Collaboration under Federal Grants and Cooperative Agreements: Financial, Administrative, and Regulatory Compliance. *Research Management Review*, 18(1), 73-93.
- Haywood, J. R., & Greene, M.** (2008). Avoiding an overzealous approach: a perspective on regulatory burden. *ILAR journal*, 49(4), 426-434.
- Imker, H. J.** (2017). . Overlooked and overrated data sharing: Why some scientists are confused and/or dismissive. Association of College and Research Libraries.
- Jin-fu, W.** (2010, March). Framework for university-industry cooperation innovation ecosystem: Factors and countermeasure. In *Challenges in Environmental Science and Computer Engineering (CESCE), 2010 International Conference on* (Vol. 2, pp. 303-306). IEEE.
- Judson, E. A., & Dapprich, J.** (2009). Negotiation 2.0. *Nature Biotechnology*, 27(12), 1079-1081.
- Lane, J.** (2010). *Science metrics: The issues and new approaches* (No. 159). Working Paper Series des Rates für Sozial- und Wirtschaftsdaten.
- Lane, J., & Bertuzzi, S.** (2010, December). The STAR METRICS project: current and future uses for S&E workforce data. In *Science of Science Measurement Workshop, held Washington DC*.
- Lane, J., & Bertuzzi, S.** (2011, December). The STAR METRICS program: NSF-NIH-OSTP Initiative. In *AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts*.
- Lane, J., & Bertuzzi, S.** (2011). Measuring the results of science investments. *Science*, 331(6018), 678-680.
- Lane, J., & Schwarz, L.** (2012). Creating new administrative data to describe the scientific workforce: The Star Metrics Program.
- Lane, J., King, J., & Schwarz, L.** (2013). The Creation of New Administrative Data.
- Largent, M. A., & Lane, J. I.** (2012). STAR METRICS and the science of science policy. *Review of Policy Research*, 29(3), 431-438.
- Leshner, A. I.** (2008). Reduce administrative burden. *Science*, 322(5908), 1609-1609.
- Leshner, A. I.** (2011). Rethinking the science system.
- Mason, M. A.** (2012). The next step for female scientists. *The Chronicle for Higher Education*.
- Mecklai, K.** (2014). Congress tackles administrative burden. *Issues in Science and Technology*, 31(1), 15.
- Mervis, J.** (1998). NSF Spells Out an Electronic Future.
- Mervis, J.** (2015). Rethinking the time 'lost' to red tape.
- Norton, J. N., Reynolds, R. P., Chan, C., Valdivia, R. H., & Staats, H. F.** (2017). Assessing the satisfaction and burden within an academic animal care and use program. *The FASEB Journal*, 31(9), 3913-3921.
- Pardo, T. A., Dawes, S. S., Cresswell, A. M., Thompson, F., & Tayi, G. K.** (2003, May). Finding our future: A research agenda for the research enterprise. In *Proceedings of the 2003 annual national conference on Digital government research* (pp. 1-4). Digital Government Society of North America.
- Perry, M. J.** (2016). Commentary: the role of epidemiologists in funding biomedical education and research. *Annals of epidemiology*, 26(9), 601-604.
- Rafael, J.** (2009). NATURE COMMUNICATIONS A crisis of confidence.
- Rockwell, S.** (2009). The FDP faculty burden survey. *Research management review*, 16(2), 29.
- Rodman, J.** (1998). *Final report for the Department of Energy funded cooperative agreement "Electronic Research Demonstration Project" [University electronic research administration demonstration project]*. Federal Information Exchange, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD (US).
- Schultz, L. A. M., & Splittergerber, R.** (2012). Faculty Profiles and the Federal Demonstration (FDP) SciENcv Pilot. *NCURA Membership Survey Results*, 12.
- Shambrook, J.** (2012). Comparison of stress-related factors in the 2007 and 2010 Research Administrator Stress Perception Surveys (RASPerS). *Journal of Research Administration*, 43(2), 107.
- Smith, T. L., Trapani, J., Decrappeo, A., & Kennedy, D.** (2011). Reforming regulation of research universities. *Issues in Science and Technology*, 27(4), 57-64.
- Temples, B., Simons, P., & Atkinson, T. N.** (2012). Research Administration Training and Compliance at the Department Level for a Predominantly Undergraduate Institution. *Research Management Review*, 19(1), n1.
- Weinberg, B. A., Owen-Smith, J., Rosen, R. F., Schwarz, L., Allen, B. M., Weiss, R. E., & Lane, J.** (2014). Science funding and short-term economic activity. *Science*, 344(6179), 41-43.
- Wimsatt, L., Trice, A., & Langley, D.** (2009). Faculty Perspectives on Academic Work and Administrative Burden: Implications for the Design of Effective Support Services. *Journal of research administration*, 40(1), 71-89.



Current and Future Faculty Activities

- Major initiatives and opportunities for participation include:
 - Implementation of the faculty workload survey
 - Development of FDP evaluation metrics
 - Working group on FDP publications
 - Faculty Administration Collaboration Team (FACT)
 - Cross cutting engagement across the FDP and representation of Faculty perspectives in research administration throughout those activities
 - Identification of Faculty relevant demonstration projects
 - Convening program activities at FDP meetings that represent and reflect Faculty perspectives on FDP topics
 - Engagement with the Federal Representatives on the faculty experience



Break Out Discussions

- 20 Minute break out sessions to discuss current and future activities
- Topics
 - Faculty workload survey
 - Development of FDP Evaluation approach
 - FDP Scholarly publications
 - Potential Demonstrations and collaborations
 - Program planning
 - Engagement with Federal Representatives
 - Miscellaneous
- **Background – Information Needs – Action Steps**



Report Out from Break out Discussions

- Report out from each group:
 - Faculty workload survey
 - Development of FDP Evaluation approach
 - FDP Scholarly publications
 - Potential Demonstrations and collaborations
 - Program planning
 - Engagement with Federal Representatives
 - Miscellaneous
- Next Steps and Continued Engagement



Thank you for your Participation

**Join us for the Faculty Virtual Happy Hour Tomorrow –
Wednesday January 13, 2021 at 6 PM!**

Contact for Michele Masucci: masucci@temple.edu

Contact for Robert Nobles: robert.e.nobles@emory.edu